

Luca Crivelli, Massimo Filippini

Regional public health care spending in Switzerland : an empirical analysis

Quaderno N. 06-04

Decanato della Facoltà di Scienze economiche
Via G. Buffi, 13 CH-6900 Lugano

REGIONAL PUBLIC HEALTH CARE SPENDING IN SWITZERLAND: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS^{*}

LUCA CRIVELLI (Luca.Crivelli@lu.unisi.ch)

Faculty of Economics – University of Lugano (USI)

Department of Management and Social Sciences – University of Applied Sciences of Southern Switzerland

MASSIMO FILIPPINI (Massimo.Filippini@lu.unisi.ch)

Faculty of Economics – University of Lugano (USI)

Department of Management, Technology and Economics, Federal Institute of Technology – Zurich

ABSTRACT

In this study we attempt to explain variations across the Swiss regional states (cantons) in public health care expenditures. The large autonomy of the cantons in the organization and financing of health care services creates strong heterogeneity. Per capita public expenditures in health care are assumed to depend on the median tax share, intergovernmental grants, and some structural factors. The empirical analysis shows that cantonal behavior is sensitive to federal subsidies. Moreover, changes in median income seem to have significantly larger effects on budgetary behavior than do equal changes in grants from the federal state, leading to a result which is contrary to part of the empirical literature on the flypaper-effect.

KEYWORDS: federalism; median voter, intergovernmental grants, health expenditures.

JEL CLASSIFICATION: D72, H77, I18

^{*} We are very grateful to the Swiss Federal Statistical Office and to the Swiss Federal Department of Finance for providing us with the statistical data. We would also like to thank Ilaria Mosca for some interesting suggestions, Marika Zola, Mauro Stanga e Hans Schneider for the support in the creation of the data set and Mary Ries for proofreading the manuscript. Responsibility for any remaining errors lies solely with the authors.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Swiss health care system is based on a peculiar health insurance system, whose characteristics are between private and social insurance. On the one hand, the State plays a significant role in terms of regulation¹: health insurance is universal (mandatory for all residents), it covers a package of health-care benefits defined by law, premiums are community rating and subject to State regulation, and a subsidy is designed to help people with low income pay their health insurance premiums; on the other hand, health insurance is consumer-driven, since individuals are required to purchase their own health insurance by choosing one of the about 90 competing private insurance companies (sickness funds) that offer the basic insurance plan.²

In 2004 health care costs total CHF 51.7 billion in Switzerland and correspond to 11.6% of GDP.³ With respect to per-capita health expenditures Switzerland ranks first among European countries and second (after the U.S.) in the world. However, if compared to the majority of Western countries, the share of public health expenditure is particularly low. Only a quarter of health care financing (26.4% in 2002) is collected in Switzerland by means of taxes. Moreover, this contribution is provided by three distinct layers of government, the federal government, the 26 cantons and the 2700 municipalities approx. The Swiss health sector is strongly marked by federalism. Decentralization of competences and expenditure has led to significant inter-cantonal differences with regard to public expenditure, tax rates, regulatory settings and to production capacity. The aim of this study is to focus on public health care

¹ See Reinhardt (2004).

² See Herzlinger and Parsa-Parsi (2004).

³ See Swiss Federal Statistical Office (2006).

expenditure and to analyze the determinants of per-capita public health expenditure variability across the Swiss cantons.⁴

The paper is structured as follows: in section 2 we present the main features of public health care financing in Switzerland and show the impact of federalism on cantonal expenditure; in sections 3 and 4 we discuss the specification of the model and submit the empirical estimation results of the panel data set. Conclusions are drawn in section 5.

II. FEDERALISM AND PUBLIC HEALTH EXPENDITURE IN SWITZERLAND

Public expenditure accounts in Switzerland for only one quarter of total health care costs. The remaining three quarters are financed through mandatory health insurance premiums (26.3%), social insurance contributions (7.7%), premiums for private complementary insurance (9.7%), co-payment of insured services (5.2%) and out-of-pocket payments (23.7%).⁵

The presence of such a large number of third-party payers makes it extremely complex to follow the financial flows in Switzerland. Since many services are simultaneously financed by multiple payers (the state and the mandatory health insurance or the mandatory health insurance and complementary private insurers) it is difficult to manage the health care expenditures in general, due to a *cost shifting* problem.⁶

80% of public spending is provided by regional and local governments. Out of respect for the typical organization of a federal state, in the health and social sectors the Federal

⁴ In a previous paper [see Crivelli et al, 2006] we analyzed the determinants of socialized health expenditure, by considering the mandatory health insurance expenditure in addition to public spending.

⁵ Overall, two-thirds of the financing do not depend on the citizens' ability to pay.

⁶ Since nobody is entirely responsible for the global health care budget, it is sometimes easier for a single financing body (e.g. the Canton) to obtain a reduction in its own financial share, rather than to engage in a more rational use of total health care spending. This encourages shifting costs at the expense of another payer, rather than looking for solutions which would allow an effective rationalization of expenditure.

Constitution grants large decision-making autonomy and financial responsibility to the single cantons.⁷ In other words, in Switzerland the bodies that formally have the task of guaranteeing access to health services (also through financial support) and of monitoring the effectiveness and efficiency of the system are the cantonal governments, while the Confederation is responsible only for the regulation of health insurance.⁸

Public health expenditure of local and cantonal governments can be subdivided in two main elements: (1) direct health expenditure (in 2003 this item totals CHF 8.2 billion), and (2) health insurance subsidies for persons with a low income (in 2003 CHF 2.96 billion were distributed to households).⁹

1) Direct public health expenditure

As far as direct health expenditure is concerned, 92% of cantonal and local spending (CHF 7.58 billion in 2003) is devoted to assuring financial support for public-interest hospitals, nursing homes and institutions offering home care services. Figure 1 displays the per capita amount directly spent by each canton in 2003.


The largest share in direct public health expenditure (on average 80%) concerns the hospital sector (acute and psychiatric hospitals), where the cantons' autonomy is particularly

⁷ According to article 3 of the Federal Constitution "*the Cantons are sovereign insofar as their sovereignty is not limited by the Federal Constitution; they shall exercise all rights which are not transferred to the Confederation*".

⁸ A change in route happened in 1996. The introduction of compulsory insurance and the definition of the benefit basket guaranteed to all the Swiss population has brought about the institutionalization of a public service on a national scale, in the sense that minimum health services, for which universal access is granted to all citizens, cannot be modified by decision of the single cantonal governments. However, the additional decision-making powers of the central body were not supported by a formal devolution of competences from the cantons to the Confederation (which would have required a change in the Constitution) nor by a redistribution of public health expenditures for a greater engagement of the Confederation [see Crivelli and Filippini, 2003].

⁹ However, only CHF 968 million were financed directly by the cantons, while CHF 1.99 billion were provided to them by the federal government by means of a specific matching grant.

important.¹⁰ Each of the 26 cantons is bound to elaborate its own hospital planning, which defines the list of hospitals authorized to practice and be reimbursed by the compulsory health insurance for the citizens of the canton. The inter-cantonal differences with regard to acute beds density are very marked. The national average 2003 was 367 acute beds per 100,000 inhabitants, but two cantons exceeded this average by 50% or more [Jura (JU): 527 beds and Basel-City (BS): 671 beds], whereas 5 cantons shown a density over 30% lower than the national average [Zug (ZG): 214 beds, Schwyz (SZ): 224 beds, Thurgovia (TG): 233 beds, Obwalden (OW): 245 beds, and Nidwalden (NW): 254 beds].



Note that these density figures have to be corrected by considering cross-border hospital care (i.e. patients of a

¹⁰ For more detailed information about the nursing home sector see Crivelli et al (2002).

particular canton who are treated in another canton), in order to arrive at the real situation.¹¹

How hospital services have to be financed is defined in the federal legislation. The tariffs paid for the canton's inhabitants by the mandatory health insurance in public-interest hospitals must cover "*maximum 50 per cent of the costs that can be invoiced in the general ward*". The other half of the operating costs (those not covered by the health insurers), as well as investment, training and research costs have to be financed by the Canton by means of tax revenues.¹²

If for medical reasons an insured person resorts to the services of a public-interest hospital situated outside his/her canton of residence, the canton of residence has to assume the difference between the costs invoiced and those corresponding to the tariffs applicable to the inhabitants of the canton where the aforesaid hospital is situated.

Conversely, private hospital services are financed exclusively by the health insurers (basic insurance and complementary private insurances). Therefore, according to present legislation the public-private mix in the hospital supply has a strong impact on public health expenditure. The higher the percentage of people getting hospital care in a private clinic in a canton, the higher the share entirely covered by means of health insurance premiums, relaxing the public health budget.

2) *Health insurance premiums subsidies*


To reduce the social impact of per capita payments, the Health Insurance Act of 1996 introduced State subsidies for persons with a low income. The financing of these subsidies is

¹¹ In Switzerland cross-border care is very important from both the demand and the supply side. Cross-border patients represent a significant share of hospital demand in some cantons, whereas in other cantons an important share of bed capacity serves to provide hospital care to patients living outside the cantonal boundaries. For details see Crivelli (1998).

¹² Public hospital services reimbursed by the compulsory insurance are therefore financed in a dual mode: partly by the health insurers and partly by the State.

ensured to the extent of two-thirds by the Confederation and one third by the cantons, through general taxation. The Confederation's funds are distributed as a specific matching grant.¹³ The financial participation of each canton is established on the base of an equalizing allocation system, in consideration of its financial strength (the marginal cantonal price for one CHF of distributed subsidy varies 2003 between 8 and 64 cents).¹⁴ Moreover, the cantons have the authority not to use all the federal grant.¹⁵ Finally, the task of implementing the subsidy distribution system lies solely with the single cantons.

The per capita spending on health insurance subsidies in the different cantons is illustrated in Figure 2.



¹³ A complete discussion of the different grant types is provided by Bailey (1999). Note that from 2008 the specific matching grant should be replaced by a lump-sum general grant. In the future the budget of the Confederation for the program of premium reductions will total "25 percent of the compulsory health insurance expenditure for 30 percent of the population" and will be allocated to the cantons without regard to their own contribution.

¹⁴ See Dafflon (2004) for more details.

¹⁵ The maximum reduction totals 50% of the allocated funds.

The 26 cantonal systems of subsidies differ greatly one from the other, in terms of technical profile as well as effectiveness. The threshold for obtaining subsidies for the mandatory health insurance, e.g. in the case of a married couple with two children, varies from 20,000 PPP-\$ of taxable income in Canton Ticino (TI) to 54,000 PPP-\$ in Basel-Country (BL). As a result, the burden of the compulsory health insurance premium for a given kind of household varies greatly depending on the canton of residence.¹⁶

This short descriptive analysis of public health expenditure in Switzerland sheds light on the fact that the federal structure has created marked differences between local government expenditures, whose level can also be influenced by the intergovernmental matching grant that the Central State introduced in 1996 with the aim of increasing the vertical equity of the community rating premiums.

III. MODEL SPECIFICATION AND ESTIMATES

In this study we use a simplest median voter model as a starting point.¹⁷ Under this model the demand for local government spending is a function of the median voter income and median tax price of spending in the jurisdiction.¹⁸ Moreover, the model will take into account the fact that the central government annually pays a specific matching grant to the cantonal government to subsidize health insurance premiums. As we know from the literature, intergovernmental specific matching grants stimulate provision of local government services

¹⁶ To illustrate the size of variation we can quote the case of a family of four persons – two parents and two minor children – with a gross income of 45,000 Euro. In 2004 for health insurance this kind of family paid 4.7 percent of their after-tax income if resident in Canton Obwalden, whereas the burden rose to about 16 percent of the after-tax income for a similar family residing in Canton Neuchâtel.

¹⁷ Vatter and Rüeßli (2003) have carried out an empirical investigation of the differences in the cantonal public health expenditure using a political science approach.

¹⁸ For a review of the median voter model see Bergstrom and Goodman (1973) and Mueller (2003). For an application of the median voter model across levels of government see Turnbull and Mitias (1999).

by increasing the real incomes of local voters and by reducing the relative price of the service in question.¹⁹ Therefore, matching grants encourage consumption by the median voter. In this framework, it is assumed that the local authority behaves in accordance with the median voter's preferences.

Several studies have attempted to explain the variation across states and over time in public health care expenditures. In these studies, total expenditures are assumed to depend on the median voter income, the median tax share, intergovernmental grants, and some demographic and structural factors.²⁰

In the public choice literature, it is argued that the more citizens can express their preferences, the more public goods will be fashioned according to their tastes.²¹ Of course, in a state like Switzerland, based on a direct democracy system, this thesis is relevant. Instruments for the direct political participation of citizens are present both at the federal and cantonal level. However, the direct democratic rights at the level of cantons are very heterogeneous. Therefore, the variation across cantons in public expenditures could be also explained by different barriers which prevent citizens from participating in the political process. The empirical model that we use in this study will also consider this factor.

The difference in the political ideology across cantons could also explain the difference in the public health care expenditures. For this reason the potential influence of this factor is examined by including in the empirical model the share of the seats in the cantonal parliaments of the left-wing parties.²² We expect to find a positive impact of this variable on the public health care

¹⁹ There is a significant body of research estimating the effects of matching grants on local public expenditure. For a review of this literature see Ribar and Wilhelm (1999).

²⁰ See Gouveia (1996), Oulasvirta (1999) and Levaggi and Zanola (2003).

²¹ For a discussion of this issue see Oates (1972 and 1999), Leu (1986), Frey (1994).

²² The seats of the following parties are considered in the creation of the LEFT-variable: SP, EVP, CSP, PDA, AGF, Grüne. We used this variable because the Swiss cantonal political institutions (parliaments and governments) are based on a system of proportional representation.

expenditures, since these parties have been traditionally in favour of a state intervention in this sector.

Following the previous studies and taking into account the availability and quality of data for the Swiss cantons, we decided to specify the following parsimonious cantonal public health expenditures model using a log-log functional form:

$$PHE_{it} = f(Y_{it}, P_{it}, MCS_{it}, MG_{it}, DDI_{it}, T) \quad (1)$$

where subscript i stands for the canton, t for the year, and:

PHE_{it} = public health expenditures per capita;

Y_{it} = median income²³;

P_{it} = median tax share²⁴;

MCS_{it} = marginal state share, calculated as 1 minus the federal matching rate for health insurance subsidies;

MG_{it} = matching grant received by the cantonal government;

DDI_{it} = cantonal index for direct democracy. Direct democracy is defined in terms of individual political participation possibilities. The index was calculated

²³ Unfortunately, no precise information is available in order to estimate the median voter income in each canton and year. For this reason we decided to approximate the median voter income by a “pseudo” average income. For the computation of this variable we relied on the federal income tax statistics. This “pseudo” average income should approximate, at least in a crude way, the median income for two reasons. First, we divided the total gross revenue of the households with nonzero taxable income (80% of the total) by the total number of households. Second, the federal income tax statistics considered also the foreign households that do not have the right to vote. Because the majority of the foreign households belong to the middle and low income classes, the median income computed with this tax statistics would be lower than the median income of the households with the right to vote. With our approach we obtain a “pseudo” average value that is lower than the “true” average income but higher than the median. For a discussion of this issue see e.g. Pommerehne (1978).

²⁴ As a reference household for the computation of the median tax share we took a family with two children. This type of household can be considered representative of the Swiss families.

by Trechsel and Serdült (1999) and Frey and Stutzer (2000);²⁵

$LEFT_{it}$ = the share of the seats in the cantonal parliaments of the left-wing parties;

T = time variable which should capture the cost differences over time owing to changes in medical technology or to other factors that may influence the development of health costs at the national level.

IV. DATA AND ESTIMATION RESULTS

The econometric estimation of model [1] is based on a combination of time-series and cross-section data for 26 cantons over the period 1996-2002.²⁶ These data were obtained from some annual publications by the Swiss Federal Statistical Office, the Swiss Federal Department of Finance and the Swiss Federal Office of Public Health. Note that for the index of direct democracy only one value is available for the whole period analyzed. Therefore, model [1] includes one time-invariant variable.²⁷

For the share of the seats in the cantonal parliaments of the left-wing parties we considered the elections in 1999 and in 2003. Equation [1] is estimated by applying a log-log functional form. With regard to the choice of econometric technique, it should be noted that in the econometric literature we can find various types of models focusing on cross-sectional variation, i.e. heterogeneity across units. The three most widely used

²⁵ The direct democracy index is indicated by the public choice theory as a means for individuals to express their preferences over public issues, especially in a decentralized context. The index varies from 1 to 6. A value close to six signifies that citizens in that particular canton manage to express their preferences over public issues to a greater extent.

²⁶ It is worth pointing out that some variables of the model show a high within variation while others show a low within variation.

²⁷ In a preliminary version of the model we included as explanatory variables a dummy for cantons with a university hospital, the ratio between import and export of hospital patients and the share of public interest hospitals. However, these variables turned out to be statistically not significant and were left out of the final model specification.

approaches are: the fixed-effects model, the random effects model and the Kmenta approach.²⁸

In order to choose between the fixed-effects model and the random-effects model we applied the Hausman test. This test checks the null hypothesis that the explanatory variables and the individual-specific error terms are uncorrelated. The result of the test shows that the differences in coefficients between the two models are not systematic, thus implying that the random effects model is to be preferred. Moreover, the within variation of the majority of the variables included in the model is relatively low and this could imply a low statistical efficiency of the fixed effects model. Therefore, the following comments are based on the results obtained with the GLS model.

Further, the matching grant may be endogenous because cantons simultaneously select the amount of the health expenditures and the amount of the potential grant that they want to use. In order to cope with this endogeneity problem we follow two strategies. First, we used a lag of the grant as proxy for the actual value in equation [1]. Second we used instrumental-variable methods.²⁹

Table 1 presents the final regression results. Most of the coefficients are statistically significantly different from zero and carry the expected sign. The log-log transformation permits us to consider the estimated coefficients as elasticities.

²⁸ For a detailed presentation of the econometric methods that have been used to analyze panel data, see Greene (2003) and Baltagi (1995). The Kmenta approach is also technically known as the cross-sectionally heteroskedastic and timewise autoregressive model (Kmenta, 1986). This approach is attractive when N , the number of units, is lower than T , the number of periods, or when the within variation of many explanatory variables is very low.

²⁹ The instrumental variables include some cantonal socio-economic variables (such as aggregate cantonal income per capita, Gini coefficient, rate of unemployment, physician density, proportion of elderly people), and a binary indicator that equals 1 if the canton is located in the Italian or French speaking part of Switzerland. For this approach we used a two-stage least squares random-effects estimator due to Balestra and Varadharajan-Krishnakumar.

Table 1 Econometric results

| Coefficients | IV model | Random effects-model |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| β_0 | -0.102 (1.466) | 1.075 (1.674) |
| β_Y | 0.483*** (0.127) | 0.472*** (0.144) |
| β_P | -0.067** (0.032) | -0.037 (0.036) |
| β_{MG} | 0.371*** (0.047) | 0.180*** (0.052) |
| β_{MCS} | 0.020 (0.057) | -0.098 (0.060) |
| β_{di} | -0.280** (0.137) | -0.390** (0.140) |
| β_{left} | 0.041** (0.013) | 0.031** (0.015) |
| β_T | 0.018*** (0.004) | 0.030*** (0.005) |

*, **, ***: significantly different from zero at the 90, 95 and 99% confidence level.

The estimation points out that the median income and grant elasticities are, as expected, positives and significantly different from zero. Moreover, the value of the median income elasticity is higher than the grant elasticity. The level of the median income seems to stimulate more spending than the specific matching grant. This result is contrary to part of the empirical literature on the flypaper-effect.³⁰

As expected, the price elasticity of the median tax share is, at least in the IV model, negative and significantly different from zero. On the other hand, the marginal state share is not statistically significant.

The negative sign of the coefficient of DDI could imply that, in those cantons where direct democracy is stronger, health care

³⁰ For a discussion on this issue see Bailey and Connolly (1998) and Levaggi and Zanolà (2003).

expenditures are generally lower because citizens' preferences are taken more seriously, and waste contained.³¹

The coefficient of the share of the seats in the cantonal parliaments of the left-wing parties is positive and significantly different from zero at the 95% confidence level. This result confirms the hypothesis that left parties tend to support a higher state intervention in the health care sector.

Time variable T is positive and significantly different from zero.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Our study has presented the structure of regional public health care expenditure in Switzerland and an empirical analysis of its determinants. The descriptive analysis shows that in Switzerland, where the principle of federalism is very deep-rooted and deeply felt by the whole population, the decentralization of the health care sector has given rise to significant differences between cantons in terms of per-capita public health expenditures.

In the second part of the paper we have presented the results of the estimation of a double logarithmic linear econometric model of per-capita regional public health expenditures over the years 1996-2003 using panel data. The model serves to determine the responsiveness of per-capita public expenditures to the median voter income, median tax share, the price and size of the intergovernmental matching grant, the strength of direct democracy and the share of the left-wings parties in the cantonal parliaments. The empirical analysis shows that cantonal behavior is sensitive to the federal subsidies. Moreover, changes in median

³¹ It is interesting to bring to the fore the fact that in Switzerland popular initiatives and referenda are a central part of the democratic life of the country, and they are very frequently used. Citizens can also call a referendum on issues like cantonal hospital planning.

income seem to have significantly larger effects on budgetary behavior than do equal changes in grants from the federal state.

VI. REFERENCES

- Bailey, S.J. (1999), *Local Government Economics. Principles and Practice*, London: MacMillan Press.
- Bailey, S.J. and S. Connolly (1998), The Flypaper Effect: Identifying Areas for Further Research, *Public Choice*, 95: 335-361.
- Baltagi, B.H. (2001), *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data*, Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Balthasar, A., O. Bieri and F. Müller (2005), Die sozialpolitische Wirksamkeit der Prämienverbilligung in den Kantonen. Monitoring 2004, Bern: Swiss Federal Office of Public Health.
- Bergstrom, T.C. and R.P. Goodman (1973), Private Demand for Public Goods, *American Economic Review*, 63(2): 280-96.
- Crivelli, L., M. Filippini and I. Mosca (2006), Federalism and Regional Health Care Expenditures: An Empirical Analysis for the Swiss Cantons, *Health Economics* (forthcoming).
- Crivelli, L. and M. Filippini (2003), Il federalismo nel settore sanitario, in Ghiringhelli, A. (ed.), *Il Ticino nella Svizzera*, Locarno: Dadò, 353-379.
- Crivelli, L., M. Filippini and D. Lunati (2002), Regulation, Ownership and Efficiency in the Swiss Nursing Home Industry, *International Journal of Health Care Finance and Economics*, II (2), 79-97.
- Crivelli, L. (1998), Cross-border care between Swiss cantons: A testing lab for the Single European Market, in: Leidl R. (ed.), *Health Care and its Financing in the Single European Market*, Amsterdam: IOS Press, 285-302.
- Dafflon, B. (2004), Die Dezentralisierung der Sozialpolitik : eine unmögliche Mission? Der Fall der Krankenversicherung in der Schweiz, in: Akademie für Raumforschung und Landesplanung, *Räumliche Aspekte des föderativen Systems*, Hannover.
- Frey, B. and A. Stutzer (2000), Happiness, economy and institutions, *Economic Journal*, 110: 918-938.
- Frey B., (1994), Direct Democracy: Politico-economic Lessons from Swiss Experience, *American Economic Review*, 84 (2): 338-342.
- Gouveia, M. (1996), The Public Sector and Health Care, *International Tax and Public Finance*, 3(3): 329-49.

- Greene, W.H. (2003), *Econometric Analysis*, New York: Prentice Hall.
- Herzlinger, R.E. and Parsa-Parso, R. (2004), Consumer-Driven Health Care, *JAMA*, 292(10): 1213-1220.
- Kmenta, J. (1986), *Elements of Econometrics*, New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Levaggi, R. and R. Zanola (2003), Flypaper Effect and Sluggishness: Evidence from regional Health Expenditure in Italy, *International Tax and Public Finance*, 10: 535-547.
- Leu, R.E. (1986), The Public-Private Mix and International Health Care Costs, in Culyer AJ, Jonsson B (eds), *Public and Private Health Services*, Basil Blackwell: Oxford.
- Mueller, D.C. (2003), *Public Choice III*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oates W.E. (1972), *Fiscal federalism*, New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Oates W.E. (1999), An Essay on Fiscal Federalism, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37: 1120-1149.
- Oulasvirta, L. (1997), Real and Perceived Effects of Changing the Grant System from Specific to General Grants, *Public Choice*, 91: 397-416.
- Pommerehne, W.W. (1978), Institutional Approaches to Public Expenditure. Empirical Evidence from Swiss Municipalities, *Journal of Public Economics*, 9: 255-280.
- Reinhardt, U.E. (2004), The Swiss Health System. Regulated Competition Without Managed Care, *JAMA*, 292(10): 1227-1231.
- Ribar, D.C. and M.O. Wilhelm (1999), The Demand for Welfare Generosity, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 81(1): 96-108.
- Swiss Federal Office of Public Health (2005), *Statistiques de l'assurance maladie 2003*, Bern.
- Swiss Federal Statistical Office (2006), *Kosten des Gesundheitswesens*, Neuchâtel.
- Trechsel, A. and U. Serdült (1999), *Kaleidoscop Volksrechte: die Institutionen der direkten Demokratie in den schweizerischen Kantonen 1970-1996*, Basel: Genf and Munich: Helbling & Lichtenhahn.
- Turnbull, G.K. and P.M. Mitias (1999), The Median Voter Model Across Levels of Government, *Public Choice*, 99: 119-138.

Vatter, A. and C. Rüefli (2003), Do Political Factors Matter for Health Care Expenditure? A Comparative Study of Swiss Cantons, *Journal of Public Policy*, 23(3): 301-323.

Wagstaff, A. et al. (1999), Equity in the finance of health care: Some further international comparisons, *Journal of Health Economics*, 18: 263-290.

Zweifel, P., S. Felder and M. Meier (1999), Ageing of Population and Health Care Expenditure: A Red Herring?, *Health Economics*, 8: 485-496.

QUADERNI DELLA FACOLTÀ

*I quaderni sono richiedibili (nell'edizione a stampa) alla Biblioteca universitaria di Lugano
via G. Buffi 13 CH 6900 Lugano
e-mail: biblioteca@lu.unisi.ch*

*The working papers (printed version) may be obtained by contacting the Biblioteca universitaria di
Lugano
via G. Buffi 13 CH 6900 Lugano
e-mail: biblioteca@lu.unisi.ch*

Quaderno n. 98-01

P. Balestra, *Efficient (and parsimonious) estimation of structural dynamic error component models*

Quaderno n. 99-01

M. Filippini, *Cost and scale efficiency in the nursing home sector : evidence from Switzerland*

Quaderno n. 99-02

L. Bernardi, *I sistemi tributari di oggi : da dove vengono e dove vanno*

Quaderno n. 99-03

L.L. Pasinetti, *Economic theory and technical progress*

Quaderno n. 99-04

G. Barone -Adesi, K. Giannopoulos, L. Vosper, *VaR without correlations for portfolios of derivative securities*

Quaderno n. 99-05

G. Barone -Adesi, Y. Kim, *Incomplete information and the closed-end fund discount*

Quaderno n. 99-06

G. Barone -Adesi, W. Allegretto, E. Dinenis, G. Sorwar, *Valuation of derivatives based on CKLS interest rate models*

Quaderno n. 99-07

M. Filippini, R. Maggi, J. Mägerle, *Skalenerträge und optimale Betriebsgrösse bei den schweizerische Privatbahnen*

Quaderno n. 99-08

E. Ronchetti, F. Trojani, *Robust inference with GMM estimators*

Quaderno n. 99-09

G.P. Torricelli, *I cambiamenti strutturali dello sviluppo urbano e regionale in Svizzera e nel Ticino sulla base dei dati dei censimenti federali delle aziende 1985, 1991 e 1995*

Quaderno n. 00-01

E. Barone, G. Barone-Adesi, R. Masera, *Requisiti patrimoniali, adeguatezza del capitale e gestione del rischio*

Quaderno n. 00-02

G. Barone-Adesi, *Does volatility pay?*

Quaderno n. 00-03

G. Barone-Adesi, Y. Kim, *Incomplete information and the closed-end fund discount*

Quaderno n. 00-04

R. Ineichen, *Dadi, astragali e gli inizi del calcolo delle probabilità*

Quaderno n. 00-05

W. Allegretto, G. Barone-Adesi, E. Dinienis, Y. Lin, G. Sorwar, *A new approach to check the free boundary of single factor interest rate put option*

Quaderno n. 00-06

G.D.Marangoni, *The Leontief Model and Economic Theory*

Quaderno n. 00-07

B. Antonioli, R. Fazioli, M. Filippini, *Il servizio di igiene urbana italiano tra concorrenza e monopolio*

Quaderno n. 00-08

L. Crivelli, M. Filippini, D. Lunati, *Dimensione ottima degli ospedali in uno Stato federale*

Quaderno n. 00-09

L. Buchli, M. Filippini, *Estimating the benefits of low flow alleviation in rivers: the case of the Ticino River*

Quaderno n. 00-10

L. Bernardi, *Fiscalità pubblica centralizzata e federale: aspetti generali e il caso italiano attuale*

Quaderno n. 00-11

M. Alderighi, R. Maggi, *Adoption and use of new information technology*

Quaderno n. 00-12

F. Rossera, *The use of log-linear models in transport economics: the problem of commuters' choice of mode*

Quaderno n. 01-01

M. Filippini, P. Prioni, *The influence of ownership on the cost of bus service provision in Switzerland. An empirical illustration*

Quaderno n. 01-02

B. Antonioli, M. Filippini, *Optimal size in the waste collection sector*

Quaderno n. 01-03

B. Schmitt, *La double charge du service de la dette extérieure*

Quaderno n. 01-04

L. Crivelli, M. Filippini, D. Lunati, *Regulation, ownership and efficiency in the Swiss nursing home industry*

Quaderno n. 01-05

S. Banfi, L. Buchli, M. Filippini, *Il valore ricreativo del fiume Ticino per i pescatori*

Quaderno n. 01-06

L. Crivelli, M. Filippini, D. Lunati, *Effizienz der Pflegeheime in der Schweiz*

Quaderno n. 02-01

B. Antonioli, M. Filippini, *The use of a variable cost function in the regulation of the Italian water industry*

Quaderno n. 02-02

B. Antonioli, S. Banfi, M. Filippini, *La deregolamentazione del mercato elettrico svizzero e implicazioni a breve termine per l'industria idroelettrica*

Quaderno n. 02-03

M. Filippini, J. Wild, M. Kuenzle, *Using stochastic frontier analysis for the access price regulation of electricity networks*

Quaderno n. 02-04

G. Cassese, *On the structure of finitely additive martingales*

Quaderno n. 03-01

M. Filippini, M. Kuenzle, *Analisi dell'efficienza di costo delle compagnie di bus italiane e svizzere*

Quaderno n. 03-02

C. Cambini, M. Filippini, *Competitive tendering and optimal size in the regional bus transportation industry*

Quaderno n. 03-03

L. Crivelli, M. Filippini, *Federalismo e sistema sanitario svizzero*

Quaderno n. 03-04

L. Crivelli, M. Filippini, I. Mosca, *Federalismo e spesa sanitaria regionale : analisi empirica per i Cantoni svizzeri*

Quaderno n. 03-05

M. Farsi, M. Filippini, *Regulation and measuring cost efficiency with panel data models : application to electricity distribution utilities*

Quaderno n. 03-06

M. Farsi, M. Filippini, *An empirical analysis of cost efficiency in non-profit and public nursing homes*

Quaderno n. 03-07

F. Rossera, *La distribuzione dei redditi e la loro imposizione fiscale : analisi dei dati fiscali svizzeri*

Quaderno n. 03-08

L. Crivelli, G. Domenighetti, M. Filippini, *Federalism versus social citizenship : investigating the preference for equity in health care*

Quaderno n. 03-09

M. Farsi, *Changes in hospital quality after conversion in ownership status*

Quaderno n. 03-10

G. Cozzi, O. Tarola, *Mergers, innovations, and inequality*

Quaderno n. 03-11

M. Farsi, M. Filippini, M. Kuenzle, *Unobserved heterogeneity in stochastic cost frontier models : a comparative analysis*

Quaderno n. 04-01

G. Cassese, *An extension of conditional expectation to finitely additive measures*

Quaderno n. 04-02

S. Demichelis, O. Tarola, *The plant size problem and monopoly pricing*

Quaderno n. 04-03

F. Rossera, *Struttura dei salari 2000 : valutazioni in base all'inchiesta dell'Ufficio federale di statistica in Ticino*

Quaderno n. 04-04

M. Filippini, M. Zola, *Economies of scale and cost efficiency in the postal services : empirical evidence from Switzerland*

Quaderno n. 04-05

F. Degeorge, F. Derrien, K.L. Womack, *Quid pro quo in IPOs : why book-building is dominating auctions*

Quaderno n. 04-06

M. Farsi, M. Filippini, W. Greene, *Efficiency measurement in network industries : application to the Swiss railway companies*

Quaderno n. 04-07

L. Crivelli, M. Filippini, I. Mosca, *Federalism and regional health care expenditures : an empirical analysis for the Swiss cantons*

Quaderno n. 04-08

S. Alberton, O. Gonzalez, *Monitoring a trans-border labour market in view of liberalization : the case of Ticino*

Quaderno n. 04-09

M. Filippini, G. Masiero, K. Moschetti, *Regional differences in outpatient antibiotic consumption in Switzerland*

Quaderno n. 04-10

A.S. Bergantino, S. Bolis, *An adaptive conjoint analysis of freight service alternatives : evaluating the maritime option*

Quaderno n. 05-01

M. Farsi, M. Filippini, *An analysis of efficiency and productivity in Swiss hospitals*

Quaderno n. 05-02

M. Filippini, G. Masiero, K. Moschetti, *Socioeconomic determinants of regional differences in outpatient antibiotic consumption : evidence from Switzerland*

Quaderno n. 06-01

M. Farsi, L. Gitto, *A statistical analysis of pain relief surgical operations*

Quaderno n. 06-02

M. Farsi, G. Ridder, *Estimating the out-of-hospital mortality rate using patient discharge data*

Quaderno n. 06-03

S. Banfi, M. Farsi, M. Filippini, *An empirical analysis of child care demand in Switzerland*

Quaderno n. 06-04

L. Crivelli, M. Filippini, *Regional public health care spending in Switzerland : an empirical analysis*